

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536

File:

EAC-01-213-50297

Office: Vermont Service Center

JUN 21 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Date:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, **EXAMINATIONS**

ber P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a gas station and convenience store chain with two employees and an estimated gross annual income of \$1 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a general manager for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) defines the term "specialty occupation" as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The director denied the petition because the duties described by the petitioner did not appear to be so complex as to require a baccalaureate degree. On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the beneficiary's proposed duties, which require the use of mathematics and execution of accounting procedures, are so complex as to require a baccalaureate degree. Counsel also states that letters from industry experts have been submitted in support of his claim.

Counsel's statement on appeal is not persuasive. The Service does not use a title, by itself, when determining whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation. The specific duties of the offered position combined with the nature of the petitioning entity's business operations are factors that the Service considers. In the initial I-129 petition, the petitioner described the duties of the offered position as follows:

. . . coordinating the activities of clerical personnel, analyzing and organizing office operations and procedures, such as bookkeeping, preparation of payrolls, flow of correspondence, filing, and requisitioning of supplies . . . evaluate the operating procedures, revise the procedures, or devise new forms to improve efficiency of workflow. He will establish uniform correspondence

procedures and formulate procedures to maintain company records. He will prepare employee ratings and conduct employee benefit and insurance programs using computers . . . will hire and train new staff . . .will prepare organizational budget and financial reports . . .

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- 1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- 2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- 3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- 4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has not met any of the above requirements to classify the offered position as a specialty occupation.

First, the Service does not agree with counsel's argument that the proffered position would normally require a bachelor's degree in management or a related field. The proffered position appears to combine the duties of an office and administrative support worker manager with those of a bookkeeper. A review of the Department of Labor's (DOL) Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook), 2002-2003 edition, finds no requirement of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specialized area for employment as an office and administrative support worker manager. Most businesses fill positions by promoting clerical or administrative support workers within their organizations. In addition, certain personal qualities such as strong teamwork and problem solving skills and a good working knowledge of the organization's computer system are often considered as important as a specific formal academic background.

A review of the <u>Handbook</u> also finds that the usual requirement for a bookkeeping or accounting clerk is at least a high school diploma or its equivalent. Some college, however, is becoming increasingly important, particularly for those occupations requiring knowledge of accounting. For positions such as bookkeepers and accounting and

procurement clerks, an associate's degree in business is often required. Thus, the petitioner has not shown that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is required for the position being offered to the beneficiary.

Second, the petitioner has not shown that it has, in the past, required the services of individuals with baccalaureate or higher degrees in a specialized area such as management, for the offered position. Third, the petitioner did not present any documentary evidence that businesses similar to the petitioner in their type of operations, number of employees, and amount of gross annual income, require the services of individuals in parallel positions. Finally, the petitioner did not demonstrate that the nature of the beneficiary's proposed duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has failed to establish that any of the four factors enumerated above are present in this proceeding. Accordingly, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the offered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of the regulations.

Counsel has provided three letters from individuals involved in the retail industry. All state that the usual requirement for positions such as the proffered position is a baccalaureate degree in business or a related field. Three letters are insufficient evidence of an industry standard. The writers have not provided evidence in support of their assertions. In addition, none of the writers have indicated the number or percentage of office managers who hold such degrees.

Beyond the decision of the director, the petitioner's labor condition application was certified on June 5, 2001, a date subsequent to May 25, 2001, the filing date of the visa petition. Regulations at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h) (4) (i) (B) (1) provide that before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application. As this matter will be dismissed on the grounds discussed, this issue need not be examined further.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.